

Compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations and the inverse Galois problem I.

Images of projective representations.

Sara Arias-de-Reyna*, Luis Dieulefait†, Gabor Wiese‡

1st March 2013

Abstract

This article is the first part of a series of three articles about compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations and applications to the inverse Galois problem.

In this first part, we determine the smallest field over which the projectivisation of a given symplectic group representation satisfying some natural conditions can be defined. The answer only depends on inner twists. We apply this to the residual representations of a compatible system of symplectic Galois representations satisfying some mild hypothesis and obtain precise information on their projective images for almost all members of the system, under the assumption of huge residual images, by which we mean that a symplectic group of full dimension over the prime field is contained up to conjugation. Finally, we obtain an application to the inverse Galois problem.

MSC (2010): 11F80 (Galois representations); 20C25 (Projective representations and multipliers), 12F12 (Inverse Galois theory).

1 Introduction

This article is the first part of a series of three articles, about compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations with huge images and applications to the inverse Galois problem. The series is subdivided as follows:

(1) This first part deals with an algebraic study of the images of compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations. In particular, inner twists are treated in generality. The article also contains a first application to the inverse Galois problem, by proving that a compatible system of dimension n having a so-called maximally induced place of order p and residually huge images almost everywhere,

*Université du Luxembourg, Faculté des Sciences, de la Technologie et de la Communication, 6, rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, L-1359 Luxembourg, Luxembourg, sara.ariasdereyna@uni.lu

†Departament d'Àlgebra i Geometria, Facultat de Matemàtiques, Universitat de Barcelona, Gran Via de les Corts Catalanes, 585, 08007 Barcelona, Spain, ldieulefait@ub.edu

‡Université du Luxembourg, Faculté des Sciences, de la Technologie et de la Communication, 6, rue Richard Coudenhove-Kalergi, L-1359 Luxembourg, Luxembourg, gabor.wiese@uni.lu

realises $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ or $\mathrm{PSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ as Galois groups for a positive density of primes ℓ , where d is any divisor of $\frac{p-1}{n}$.

(2) The second part is concerned with subgroups of symplectic groups that contain a transvection. This is applied to proving that certain compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations have residually huge images almost everywhere, if they contain a transvection and have a suitably chosen maximally induced place.

(3) In the third part, which is in preparation, we will use results of Geraghty and Gee, to show the existence of compatible systems of Galois representations satisfying the conditions developed in the first two parts. This realises, for each integer d , the groups $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ or $\mathrm{PSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ as Galois groups over $\mathbb{Q}$ for a positive density set of primes ℓ . These results are, in the terminology of [DW11], analogues of results of [KLS08] in the horizontal direction.

We now describe the main results of the present article:

We determine the smallest field $K_{[\rho]}$, called the *projective field of definition of $[\rho]$* , such that the projectivisation of a given symplectic group representation $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GSp}_n(L)$ satisfying some natural conditions factors through $\rho^{\mathrm{proj}} : G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGSp}_n(K_{[\rho]})$ (Corollary 2.13). The field $K_{[\rho]}$ is entirely determined by the inner twists of $[\rho]$.

We also determine the fields of definition of the residual representations $[\bar{\rho}_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{proj}}]$ in a compatible system of symplectic Galois representations $\rho_{\bullet}$ satisfying some mild hypothesis. Theorem 4.5 shows that for almost all λ , this field is the residue field of the completion at λ of a global field $K_{\rho_{\bullet}}$, which again is entirely determined by the inner twists of the compatible system $\rho_{\bullet}$.

We determine the images of $[\bar{\rho}_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{proj}}]$ at almost all λ under the assumption of huge residual images (Corollary 5.8).

Finally, we obtain the above mentioned application to the inverse Galois problem of certain compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations (Theorem 6.5).

Acknowledgements

S. A.-d.-R. worked on this article as a fellow of the Alexander-von-Humboldt foundation. She thanks the Université du Luxembourg for its hospitality during a long term visit. She was also partially supported by MEC grant MTM2009-07024. G. W. was partially supported by the Sonderforschungsbereich TRR 45, the DFG priority program 1489 and by the Université du Luxembourg.

2 Inner twists of a group representation

This part owes many of its ideas to Ribet's paper [Rib85], including Papier's theorem cited in that article, as well as to other papers of Ribet. A conceptual framework for some of those ideas is provided here as well as a generalisation.

Set-up 2.1. • $K \subseteq L$ (topological) fields such that L/K is a Galois extension.

We may, for instance, take L to be a separable closure of K for maximal flexibility; in later sections L will be a finite Galois extension of K over which a compatible system of Galois representations is defined.

- $\Gamma := \text{Gal}(L/K)$.
- G a (topological) group. In later sections, G will be a Galois group.
- $\mathcal{E} = \{\epsilon : G \rightarrow L^\times \text{ (continuous) character } \}$.

Note that Γ acts on $\mathcal{E}$ from the left by composition: $\gamma\epsilon := \gamma \circ \epsilon$.

- Form the semi-direct product $\mathcal{G} := \mathcal{E} \rtimes \Gamma$ for the above action; concretely:

$$(\gamma_1, \epsilon_1) \cdot (\gamma_2, \epsilon_2) := (\gamma_1 \gamma_2, (\gamma_1 \epsilon_2) \epsilon_1) \text{ and } (\gamma, \epsilon)^{-1} = (\gamma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}(\epsilon^{-1})).$$

Consequently, we have the exact sequence:

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{E} \xrightarrow{\iota: \epsilon \mapsto (1, \epsilon)} \mathcal{G} \xrightarrow{\pi: (\gamma, \epsilon) \mapsto \gamma} \Gamma \rightarrow 1.$$

This sequence is split in the obvious way.

Note that the other projection $c : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, $(\gamma, \epsilon) \mapsto \epsilon$ is a 1-cocycle, when letting $\mathcal{G}$ act on $\mathcal{E}$ through its quotient Γ .

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the set of n -dimensional representations of G up to equivalence (i.e. up to conjugation by an element of $\text{GL}_n(L)$):

$$\text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L) := \{\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L) \text{ (continuous) group homomorphism } \} / \cong.$$

The equivalence class of ρ is denoted by $[\rho]$.

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and consider the set of n -dimensional symplectic representations of G up to symplectic equivalence (i.e. up to conjugation by an element of $\text{GSp}_n(L)$):

$$\text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L) := \{\rho : G \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(L) \text{ (continuous) group homomorphism } \} / \cong.$$

We make the convention that equivalence of symplectic representations always means symplectic equivalence. The equivalence class of ρ is denoted by $[\rho]$. Note that the embedding $\text{GSp}_n(L) \hookrightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$ allows us to see elements of $\text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$ as elements of $\text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$.

- Note that $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $\text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ from the left by the following formula:

$$(\gamma, \epsilon) \cdot [\rho] := [(\gamma \rho) \otimes_L \epsilon^{-1}],$$

where $\gamma \in \Gamma$, $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ and $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$. In the same way, $\mathcal{G}$ acts on $\text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$ from the left.

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and consider the set of n -dimensional projective representations of G up to equivalence:

$$\mathrm{PGL}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L) := \{ \rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGL}_n(L) \text{ (continuous) group homomorphism} \} / \cong .$$

Two projective representations of this type are said to be equivalent if they are conjugate by the class (modulo scalars) of a matrix in $\mathrm{GL}_n(L)$.

For $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GL}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$ we denote by $[\rho^{\mathrm{proj}}]$ its natural image in $\mathrm{PGL}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$.

- Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ be even and consider the set of n -dimensional symplectic projective representations of G up to symplectic equivalence:

$$\mathrm{PGSp}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L) := \{ \rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{PGSp}_n(L) \text{ (continuous) group homomorphism} \} / \cong .$$

Two symplectic projective representations of this type are said to be equivalent if they are conjugate by the class (modulo scalars) of a matrix in $\mathrm{GSp}_n(L)$.

For $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GSp}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$ we denote by $[\rho^{\mathrm{proj}}]$ its natural image in $\mathrm{PGSp}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$.

For the rest of this section we assume that we are in Set-up 2.1. We collect all definitions in the following one.

Definition 2.2. Let $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GL}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GSp}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$.

- Define $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ to be the stabiliser group of $[\rho]$ in $\mathcal{G}$ (for the $\mathcal{G}$ -action on $\mathrm{GL}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$ or on $\mathrm{GSp}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$). Its elements are called inner twists of $[\rho]$. Explicitly, let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}$. Then (γ, ϵ) is an inner twist of $[\rho]$ if and only if $[\rho] = [(\gamma\rho) \otimes_L \epsilon^{-1}]$ which is the case if and only if $[\gamma\rho] = [\rho \otimes_L \epsilon]$.
- Define the groups $\Gamma_{[\rho]} := \pi(\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}) \subseteq \Gamma$ and $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} := \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}) = \iota^{-1}(\ker(\pi|_{\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}}))$.
- We say that $[\rho]$ has no complex multiplication if $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} = \{1\}$, i.e. if and only if $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]} \cong \Gamma_{[\rho]}$.
- Define the field $K_{[\rho]} := L^{\Gamma_{[\rho]}}$. It is called the projective field of definition of $[\rho]$ (see Corollary 2.13).
- Define the group $\Delta_{[\rho]} := \{ \gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]} \mid (\gamma, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]} \}$.
- Define the field $E_{[\rho]} := L^{\Delta_{[\rho]}}$. It is called the field of definition of $[\rho]$ (see Proposition 2.14 (b)).

We first include a proposition summarising some representation theory to be used in the sequel.

Proposition 2.3. (a) Let $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GL}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GSp}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$ be absolutely irreducible. Then any $M \in \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ commuting with all $\rho(g)$ for $g \in G$ is a scalar matrix.

(b) Let $[\rho], [\rho'] \in \mathrm{GL}\text{-}\mathrm{Reps}_n(G, L)$ be absolutely irreducible. If $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(g)) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho'(g))$ for all $g \in G$, then $[\rho] = [\rho']$.

- (c) Let $[\rho], [\rho'] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$ be absolutely irreducible without complex multiplication. If $\text{Tr}(\rho(g)) = \text{Tr}(\rho'(g))$ for all $g \in G$, then $[\rho] = [\rho']$.
- (d) Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ be absolutely irreducible and let $K \subseteq \tilde{K} \subseteq L$ be a field extension such that $\text{Tr}(\rho(g)) \in \tilde{K}$ for all $g \in G$. Then there is $M \in \text{GL}_n(L)$ such that $M^{-1}\rho(g)M \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$ for all $g \in G$. So, the equivalence class $[\rho]$ has a representative with target in $\text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$.
- (e) Let $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$ be absolutely irreducible without complex multiplication and let $K \subseteq \tilde{K} \subseteq L$ be a field extension such that $\text{Tr}(\rho(g)) \in \tilde{K}$ and $m(g) \in \tilde{K}$ (multiplier map) for all $g \in G$. Then there is $M \in \text{GSp}_n(L)$ such that $M^{-1}\rho(g)M \in \text{GSp}_n(\tilde{K})$ for all $g \in G$. So, the equivalence class $[\rho]$ has a representative with target in $\text{GSp}_n(\tilde{K})$.

Proof. (a) This is a form of Schur's Lemma. See e.g. [Maz97], p. 252.

(b) This result is proved in [Car94], Théorème 1, or sketched in [Maz97], p. 253.

(c) Applying (b), we obtain that ρ and ρ' are equivalent as GL_n -representations, meaning that there is some matrix $M \in \text{GL}_n(L)$ such that $\rho' = M^{-1}\rho M$. We show that M lies in $\text{GSp}_n(L)$. The symplecticity of ρ and ρ' implies for $g \in G$:

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(g)^{\text{tr}} J \rho(g) &= m(\rho(g))J \\ (M^{-1}\rho(g)M)^{\text{tr}} J (M^{-1}\rho(g)M) &= m(\rho'(g))J, \end{aligned}$$

where m is the multiplier and J is the Gram matrix of the standard symplectic pairing. Combining these two equations, yields

$$(MJ^{-1}M^{\text{tr}}J)^{-1}\rho(g)(MJ^{-1}M^{\text{tr}}J) = \frac{m(\rho'(g))}{m(\rho(g))}\rho(g).$$

Note that this equation implies that the character $\frac{m(\rho'(g))}{m(\rho(g))}$ lies in $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$. As we are assuming that ρ has no complex multiplication, this character is trivial. Using (a), we get that $MJ^{-1}M^{\text{tr}}J$ is a scalar matrix λid_n , showing that $M \in \text{GSp}_n(L)$, as claimed.

(d) This is Théorème 2 of [Car94]; see also [Maz97], p. 255.

(e) Applying (d) we obtain a matrix $M \in \text{GL}_n(L)$ such that $M^{-1}\rho(g)M \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$ for all $g \in G$. We want to show that there is a matrix $N \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$ such that $N^{-1}M^{-1}\rho(g)MN \in \text{GSp}_n(\tilde{K})$ for all $g \in G$. Again denote J the Gram matrix of the standard symplectic pairing. Then all $M^{-1}\rho(g)M$ respect the symplectic pairing with Gram matrix $I := M^{\text{tr}}JM$ up to the same multiplier $m(\rho(g))$, i.e.

$$(M^{-1}\rho(g)M)^{\text{tr}} I (M^{-1}\rho(g)M) = m(\rho(g))I$$

for all $g \in G$.

We claim that $I \in \text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$. Note that conjugating $M^{-1}\rho(g)M$ by I yields an element in $\text{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$, using here the assumption $m(\rho(g)) \in \tilde{K}$. The absolute irreducibility of $M^{-1}\rho M$ now

implies that conjugating by I preserves $M_n(\tilde{K})$. The Skolem-Noether theorem (Corollary 3.63 of [CR81]) consequently shows $I \in \mathrm{GL}_n(\tilde{K})$.

This now means that I defines a symplectic pairing on $\tilde{K}^n$ (the representation space of $M^{-1}\rho M$), which is respected by $M^{-1}\rho M$ (up to the multiplier). The claimed existence of the matrix N is now just the fact that in a symplectic vector space a basis can be chosen such that the symplectic pairing has the standard form, i.e. is given by J . We conclude, using (c), that $(MN)^{-1}\rho(MN)$ is in the equivalence class $[\rho]$. $\square$

The following lemma is very useful in our applications of the above theory to compatible systems of Galois representations, where the Frobenius traces lie in some global field.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GL}\text{-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GSp}\text{-Reps}_n(G, L)$ (in this case also assume that ρ has no complex multiplication) be absolutely irreducible. Then $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ is equal to the set*

$$\{(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G} \mid \gamma(\mathrm{Tr}(\rho(g))) = \mathrm{Tr}(\rho(g))\epsilon(g) \ \forall g \in G\}.$$

Proof. Proposition 2.3 (b) and (c). $\square$

We continue with a useful observation on the orders of ϵ occuring for inner twists.

Lemma 2.5. *Let $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GL}\text{-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GSp}\text{-Reps}_n(G, L)$. Furthermore, let $\chi : G \rightarrow K^\times$ be any (continuous) character and let $\psi : G \rightarrow L^\times$ be a (continuous) character of finite order equal to m . Let $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ occur in some $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$.*

(a) *If $\det(\rho) = \psi\chi$, then $\epsilon^n = \frac{\gamma\psi}{\psi}$ and the order of ϵ is finite and divides nm .*

(b) *If $[\rho]$ is symplectic with multiplier map $m(\rho) = \psi\chi$, then $\epsilon^2 = \frac{\gamma\psi}{\psi}$ and the order of ϵ is finite and divides m . Moreover, for all $g \in G$, $\epsilon(g) \in K(\zeta)$, where ζ is an m -th root of unity*

Proof. Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. We get:

(a) $\gamma(\det(\rho)) = \chi\gamma(\psi) = \psi\chi\epsilon^n$, implying $\epsilon^n = \frac{\gamma\psi}{\psi}$. So, ϵ^n has order dividing m , so that ϵ has finite order dividing nm .

(b) The same calculation with the multiplier map yields $\epsilon^2 = \frac{\gamma\psi}{\psi}$. Consequently, the order of ϵ divides $2m$. Let ζ be a primitive m -th root of unity, $g \in G$ and $b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\psi(g) = \zeta^b$, $\gamma(\zeta) = \zeta^c$. Then $\epsilon(g)^2 = \frac{\zeta^{bc}}{\zeta^b} = \zeta^{b(c-1)}$.

If m is odd, there exists $d \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $\zeta = (\zeta^2)^d$, thus $\epsilon(g)^2 = \zeta^{2bd(c-1)}$ and $\epsilon(g) \in K(\zeta)$. Assume now that m is even. Then $c - 1$ is also even (otherwise c would be even, and ζ^c would not be a primitive m -th root of unity). Hence $\epsilon(g)^2$ has order dividing $m/2$, so that $\epsilon(g)$ has order dividing m . $\square$

We now study projective representations.

Lemma 2.6. (a) *For $\rho : G \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_n(L)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ one has $\rho^{\mathrm{proj}} = (\rho \otimes \epsilon)^{\mathrm{proj}}$.*

(b) *For $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GL}\text{-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \mathrm{GSp}\text{-Reps}_n(G, L)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ one has $\rho^{\mathrm{proj}} \cong (\rho \otimes \epsilon)^{\mathrm{proj}}$.*

(c) Let $[\rho_1], [\rho_2] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho_1], [\rho_2] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$ such that $\rho_1^{\text{proj}} \cong \rho_2^{\text{proj}}$. Then there is $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $[\rho_1] = [\rho_2 \otimes \epsilon]$.

Proof. (a) and (b) are clear.

(c) Denote by s the injective homomorphism $L^\times \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L)$ which sends x to the scalar matrix $x \cdot \text{id}_n$. Let M be a matrix in $\text{GL}_n(L)$ (or in $\text{GSp}_n(L)$) such that $\rho_1^{\text{proj}} = M \rho_2^{\text{proj}} M^{-1}$. Define the character $\epsilon : G \rightarrow L^\times$ by the formula

$$\epsilon(g) := s^{-1}(\rho_1(g)(M \rho_2(g) M^{-1})^{-1}).$$

Note that ϵ is well-defined and multiplicative and that it obviously satisfies the requirements. $\square$

For the next lemma note that $(\gamma \rho)^{\text{proj}} = \gamma(\rho^{\text{proj}})$.

Lemma 2.7. Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$.

(a) $\Gamma_{[\rho]} = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma \rho^{\text{proj}} \cong \rho^{\text{proj}}\}$.

(b) If ρ^{proj} factors as $G \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(\tilde{K}) \rightarrow \text{PGL}_n(L)$ or as $G \rightarrow \text{PGSp}_n(\tilde{K}) \rightarrow \text{PGSp}_n(L)$ for some field $K \subseteq \tilde{K} \subseteq L$, then $K_{[\rho]} \subseteq \tilde{K}$.

Proof. (a) Let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$. Then there is ϵ such that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$, i.e. $\gamma \rho \cong \rho \otimes \epsilon$. Hence, $\gamma \rho^{\text{proj}} \cong \rho^{\text{proj}}$ by Lemma 2.6. Conversely, if $\gamma \in \Gamma$ satisfying $\gamma \rho^{\text{proj}} \cong \rho^{\text{proj}}$, then by Lemma 2.6, there is ϵ such that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$, whence $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$.

(b) Let $U \subseteq \Gamma$ the (closed) subgroup such that $\tilde{K} = L^U$. Then for all $\gamma \in U$ we have $\gamma \rho^{\text{proj}} = \rho^{\text{proj}}$. Hence, $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$ by (a). Consequently, $U \subseteq \Gamma_{[\rho]}$, whence $K_{[\rho]} \subseteq \tilde{K}$. $\square$

The lemma shows that any field over which ρ^{proj} can be defined contains $K_{[\rho]}$. Our aim will be to show that under suitable assumptions ρ^{proj} can be defined over $K_{[\rho]}$ and to describe this field.

Lemma 2.8. Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$.

(a) The action of $\Gamma_{[\rho]}$ on $\mathcal{E}$ restricts to an action on $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ in such a way that $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]} = \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]} \rtimes \Gamma_{[\rho]}$.

(b) The set $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ precisely consists of those characters $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $[\rho] = [\rho \otimes \epsilon]$.

Proof. (a) Of course, being a kernel, $\iota(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]})$ is a normal subgroup of $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. To see the assertion of the Lemma, we make an explicit computation: Let $(1, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ and $(\gamma, \delta) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. Then

$$(\gamma, \delta)(1, \epsilon)(\gamma, \delta)^{-1} = (\gamma, (\gamma \epsilon) \delta)(\gamma^{-1}, \gamma^{-1}(\delta^{-1})) = (1, \gamma \epsilon).$$

This shows that for any $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ and any $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$, the character $\gamma \epsilon$ is also in $\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$.

(b) is by definition. $\square$

Lemma 2.9. Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$. Let $g \in G$ and let $X^n + \sum_{i=1}^n a_i(g)X^{n-i}$ be the characteristic polynomial of $\rho(g)$. Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. Then one has the equations

$$\gamma(a_i(g)) = \epsilon(g)^i \cdot a_i(g)$$

for all $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Proof. This immediately follows by comparing the characteristic polynomials of $[\rho]$ and $(\gamma, \epsilon) \cdot [\rho]$. $\square$

Corollary 2.10. Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$ and $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$.

(a) The order of ϵ divides n .

(b) Let $i \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $g \in G$. If $a_i(g) \neq 0$, then $\epsilon^i(g) = 1$.

Proof. (a) The coefficient $a_n(g)$ is the determinant of $\rho(g)$, which is a unit. Hence, Lemma 2.9 gives $a_n(g) = \epsilon(g)^n a_n(g)$ for all g .

(b) is immediate. $\square$

Lemma 2.11. For $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$, let $H_{[\rho]} := \bigcap_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}} \ker(\epsilon)$. It is a (closed normal) subgroup of G with abelian quotient of exponent dividing n .

If ρ has complex multiplication, then the restriction of ρ to $H_{[\rho]}$ is not absolutely irreducible.

Proof. Let $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$ and let M be a (symplectic) matrix such that $\rho \otimes \epsilon = M\rho M^{-1}$. If the restriction of ρ to $H_{[\rho]}$ is absolutely irreducible, then restricting to $H_{[\rho]}$ gives $\rho|_{H_{[\rho]}} = M\rho M^{-1}|_{H_{[\rho]}}$. By absolute irreducibility, any matrix that commutes with all of $\rho(H_{[\rho]})$ is scalar, whence $\rho \otimes \epsilon = \rho$. Consequently, ϵ is the trivial character. $\square$

Proposition 2.12. For $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$, define the subgroup $I_{[\rho]} := \bigcap_{\epsilon \in \mathcal{E} \text{ s.t. } \exists (\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}} \ker(\epsilon)$. Assume that the restriction of ρ to $I_{[\rho]}$ is absolutely irreducible (in particular, by Lemma 2.11 this implies that $[\rho]$ has no complex multiplication). Then the equivalence class $[\rho]$ contains a member ρ' satisfying:

- Let $g \in G$: Then $\rho'(g) \in \text{GL}_n(K_{[\rho]}) \Leftrightarrow g \in I_{[\rho]}$.
- $\gamma \rho' = \rho' \otimes \epsilon$ for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$.

Proof. Due to Lemma 2.9, the character of ρ restricted to $I_{[\rho]}$ takes values in $K_{[\rho]}$. If ρ is symplectic, the formula $\epsilon^2 = \frac{\gamma(m \circ \rho)}{m \circ \rho}$ for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ (see also Lemma 2.5 (b)) shows that the multiplier of ρ restricted to $I_{[\rho]}$ takes values in $K_{[\rho]}$. Due to the irreducibility assumption, $\rho|_{I_{[\rho]}}$ is thus conjugate by some (symplectic) matrix M to a representation defined over $K_{[\rho]}$, see Proposition 2.3 (d) and (e). Thus $\rho' := M\rho M^{-1}$ is a representation of G such that its restriction to $I_{[\rho]}$ takes its values in the (symplectic) matrices with entries in $K_{[\rho]}$.

We start by showing the second property. For this, let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. Since $[\gamma\rho] = [\rho \otimes \epsilon]$, there is a (symplectic) matrix N such that $\gamma\rho' = N(\rho' \otimes \epsilon)N^{-1}$. Restricting to $I_{[\rho]}$ and using that this restriction is absolutely irreducible, the matrix N has to be scalar (see Proposition 2.3 (a)), showing $\gamma\rho' = \rho' \otimes \epsilon$.

Let now $g \in G \setminus I_{[\rho]}$. Then there is a $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ such that $\epsilon(g) \neq 1$. Hence, $\gamma(\rho'(g)) = \rho'(g)\epsilon(g) \neq \rho'(g)$, showing $\rho'(g) \notin \text{GL}_n(K_{[\rho]})$. $\square$

The next corollary is an application of Hilbert's Satz 90.

Corollary 2.13. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Rep}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Rep}_n(G, L)$ such that its restriction to $I_{[\rho]}$ is absolutely irreducible. Then the equivalence class of ρ^{proj} has a member that factors through $\text{PGL}_n(K_{[\rho]})$ or $\text{PGSp}_n(K_{[\rho]})$. Combining with Lemma 2.7, $K_{[\rho]}$ is hence the smallest field with this property.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.12, we may and do assume that $\gamma\rho = \rho \otimes \epsilon$ for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$.

We already observed that the projection $c : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$ is a 1-cocycle. The same holds, of course, for $c : \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$. Now let $g \in G$. Then the composition $c_g := \text{ev}_g \circ c$, where $\text{ev}_g(\epsilon) = \epsilon(g)$ is the evaluation map, is a 1-cocycle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow L^\times$. Explicitly, $c_g((\gamma, \epsilon)) = \epsilon(g)$. The inflation-restriction exact sequence reads

$$1 \rightarrow H^1(\Gamma_{[\rho]}, L^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{infl}} H^1(\mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}, L^\times) \xrightarrow{\text{res}} \text{Hom}(\mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}, L^\times)^{\Gamma_{[\rho]}}.$$

By Hilbert's Satz 90, $H^1(\Gamma_{[\rho]}, L^\times)$ is the trivial module. Note that for $\epsilon_0 \in \mathcal{E}_{[\rho]}$, we have $c_g((1, \epsilon_0)) = \epsilon_0(g)$. Since ρ has no complex multiplication, the restriction map is trivial and c_g is a coboundary, i.e. it is of the form $c_g((\gamma, \epsilon)) = \epsilon(g) = \frac{a_g}{\gamma(a_g)}$ with some $a_g \in L^\times$.

Then for any $g \in G$ we obtain

$$\gamma\rho(g) \otimes \frac{\gamma(a_g)}{a_g} = \rho(g)$$

and consequently

$$\gamma(\rho(g)a_g) = \rho(g)a_g,$$

showing that $\rho(g)a_g$ belongs to $\text{GL}_n(K_{[\rho]})$ (or to $\text{GSp}_n(K_{[\rho]})$). Taking this equation modulo scalars, yields the Corollary. $\square$

Proposition 2.14. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Rep}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Rep}_n(G, L)$ be absolutely irreducible. Let $\chi : G \rightarrow K^\times$ be any (continuous) character and let $\psi : G \rightarrow L^\times$ be a (continuous) character of finite order equal to m . If $[\rho]$ is symplectic, assume that the multiplier map $m(\rho) = \psi\chi$; otherwise assume that $\det \rho = \psi\chi$ and $E_{[\rho]}$ contains the nm -th roots of unity. Also assume that the topology (if any) on $\mathcal{E}$ is Hausdorff.*

(a) $\Delta_{[\rho]}$ is a (closed) normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{[\rho]}$ and, hence, $E_{[\rho]}/K_{[\rho]}$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $\Gamma_{[\rho]}/\Delta_{[\rho]}$. In particular, the field $\gamma(E_{[\rho]}) = E_{[\rho]}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$.

(b) If $[\rho]$ is symplectic, also assume that $[\rho]$ has no complex multiplication. The equivalence class $[\rho]$ contains a representation that can be defined over the field $E_{[\rho]}$ and $E_{[\rho]}$ is the smallest such field. Moreover, $E_{[\rho]}$ is generated over K by the traces $\text{Tr}(\rho(g))$ for $g \in G$ (and the values of the multiplier if ρ is symplectic).

Proof. (a) Note that, if $[\rho]$ is symplectic, for all $\delta \in \Delta_{[\rho]}$, $\delta(m(\rho)) = m(\rho)$, whence $E_{[\rho]}$ contains the m -th roots of unity. Let $\delta \in \Delta_{[\rho]}$ and $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho]}$. There is $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}$ such that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. We compute

$$(\gamma, \epsilon)(\delta, 1)(\gamma, \epsilon)^{-1} = (\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}, (\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}\epsilon^{-1})\epsilon) = (\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1}, 1),$$

whence $\gamma\delta\gamma^{-1} \in \Delta_{[\rho]}$. We have used that the values of $\gamma^{-1}\epsilon^{-1}$ are m -th roots of unity if $[\rho]$ is symplectic and nm -th roots of unity otherwise (cf. Lemma 2.5), so that δ acts trivially upon them.

Recall the (continuous) 1-cocycle $c : \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]} \rightarrow \mathcal{E}$, defined by $c(\gamma, \epsilon) = \epsilon$. The group $\Delta_{[\rho]}$ is precisely $\pi(c^{-1}(\{1\}))$. As $\{1\}$ is closed due to the Hausdorffness, $\Delta_{[\rho]}$ is closed.

(b) Lemma 2.9 implies that the character of ρ takes values in $E_{[\rho]}$, i.e. the traces are in $E_{[\rho]}$. If ρ is symplectic, then the multiplier of ρ also takes values in $E_{[\rho]}$ by hypothesis. Due to the absolute irreducibility, $[\rho]$ can thus be defined over $E_{[\rho]}$ by Proposition 2.3 (d) and (e). If the traces of ρ (together with the values of the multiplier for symplectic ρ) generated a proper subfield $\tilde{K}$ of $E_{[\rho]}$, then $[\rho]$ could be defined over $\tilde{K}$. Then, however, there would be a $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \Delta_{[\rho]}$ such that γ is the identity on $\tilde{K}$, and γ would fix all traces. This would mean $\gamma\rho \cong \rho$ (by Proposition 2.3 (b) and (c)), so that $(\gamma, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$, consequently, $\gamma \in \Delta_{[\rho]}$, a contradiction. $\square$

Note that if in addition G is (topologically) finitely generated, the ϵ that occur for a given $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$ form a finite set (since there are only finitely many of bounded order). Consequently, the extension $E_{[\rho]}/K_{[\rho]}$ is finite.

3 Inner twists of a Galois representation

Let F be a number field and let $G := G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ in Set-up 2.1, which we assume for this section.

Lemma 3.1. *Let $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G_F, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G_F, L)$. Then any ϵ occurring in $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ is unramified at all places of F at which ρ is unramified.*

Proof. Let σ be an element such that $\rho(\sigma)$ is the identity. Then $\gamma\rho(\sigma)$ is also the identity, hence, $\gamma\rho \cong \rho \otimes \epsilon$ implies that $\epsilon(\sigma) = 1$. Now apply this reasoning to σ in inertia groups at primes at which ρ does not ramify. $\square$

Proposition 3.2. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic ℓ and $[\rho] \in \text{GL-Reps}_n(G, L)$ or $[\rho] \in \text{GSp-Reps}_n(G, L)$. Let $\mathfrak{L}$ be a prime of F above ℓ . Assume that the restriction of ρ to the inertia group $I_{\mathfrak{L}}$ at $\mathfrak{L}$ has the following shape:*

There exists an integer s between 1 and n , and for each $i = 1, \dots, s$, a set S_i of natural numbers in $\{0, 1, \dots, \ell - 1\}$, of cardinality r_i , with $r_1 + \dots + r_s = n$, say $S_i = \{a_{i,1}, \dots, a_{i,r_i}\}$ such that, if we denote by B_i the matrix,

$$B_i \sim \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{r_i}^{b_i} & & & 0 \\ & \psi_{r_i}^{b_i \ell} & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ 0 & & & \psi_{r_i}^{b_i \ell^{r_i-1}} \end{pmatrix}$$

with ψ_{r_i} a (fixed choice of) fundamental character of niveau r_i and $b_i = a_{i,1} + a_{i,2}\ell + \dots + a_{i,r_i}\ell^{r_i-1}$, then

$$\rho|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}} \sim \begin{pmatrix} \overline{B_1} & & * \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \overline{B_s} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Further assume $\ell > n$ and all $a_{i,j}$ that appear are less than $\frac{\ell-1}{2n}$.

Then for all $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$ the character ϵ is unramified at $\mathfrak{L}$.

Proof. First note that the restriction to $I_{\mathfrak{L}}$ of the determinant is χ_{ℓ}^a , where a is the sum of all the $a_{i,j}$ and χ_{ℓ} denotes the mod- ℓ cyclotomic character, on which any $\gamma \in \Gamma$ acts identically. Note the following estimate for any exponent x of ψ_{r_i} occuring in B_i for any $i \in \{1, \dots, s\}$:

$$0 \leq x < \frac{\ell^{r_i} - 1}{2n}.$$

Namely, each exponent x is of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{r_i} a^{(j)} \ell^{j-1}$, where $\{a^{(j)} : j = 1, \dots, r_i - 1\}$ is a cyclic permutation of the elements of S_i . Hence

$$0 \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} a^{(j)} \ell^{j-1} < \frac{\ell^{r_i} - 1}{2n}.$$

Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho]}$. We first consider the action of γ . As K is a finite field, γ acts by raising to the ℓ^c -th power for some c . In particular $\gamma \psi_{r_i} = \psi_{r_i}^{\ell^c}$. This shows that $\gamma \rho|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ has the same shape as $\rho|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ except that the elements of each set S_i are permuted.

Taking the determinant on both sides of $\gamma \rho \cong \rho \otimes \epsilon$ yields that $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ has order m dividing n , as γ acts trivially on the cyclotomic character. Moreover, looking at any diagonal entry we get

$$\psi_{r_i}^x = \psi_{r_j}^y \cdot \epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$$

for some exponents x and y .

Let r be the least common multiple of r_i and r_j . Then we can rewrite the previous equation as

$$\psi_r^{\tilde{x}} = \psi_r^{\tilde{y}} \cdot \epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}. \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, we have the estimate

$$0 \leq \tilde{x} < \frac{\ell^r - 1}{2n},$$

since $\psi_r = \psi_{r_i}^{\frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell^{r_i} - 1}}$ and hence

$$\tilde{x} = x \frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell^{r_i} - 1} < \frac{\ell^{r_i} - 1}{2n} \frac{\ell^r - 1}{\ell^{r_i} - 1} = \frac{\ell^r - 1}{2n}.$$

The same estimate holds true for $\tilde{y}$.

Furthermore, the knowledge of the order of $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ implies $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}} = \psi_r^{\frac{\ell^r - 1}{m}b}$ for some $1 \leq b \leq m - 1$ coprime to m . Note that this implies the estimates

$$\frac{\ell^r - 1}{n} \leq \frac{\ell^r - 1}{m} \leq \frac{\ell^r - 1}{m}b \leq \frac{(m - 1)(\ell^r - 1)}{m} \leq \frac{(n - 1)(\ell^r - 1)}{n}.$$

But, since ψ_r has order $\ell^r - 1$, Equation (3.1) implies that $\frac{(\ell^r - 1)b}{m} + \tilde{y} - \tilde{x}$ is divisible by $\ell^r - 1$, hence we get a contradiction. Thus $\epsilon|_{I_{\mathfrak{L}}}$ is trivial, as was to be shown. $\square$

4 Inner twists in compatible systems of Galois representations

We now turn our attention to compatible systems of Galois representations.

Definition 4.1. Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We assume Set-up 2.1 with L/K a finite Galois extension of number fields and $G := G_F := \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$ the absolute Galois group of a number field F . Let S be a finite set of finite places of F .

A compatible system $\rho_{\bullet} = (\rho_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ of n -dimensional representations of G_F consists of the following data:

- For each finite place $\mathfrak{p}$ of F not in S , a monic polynomial $P_{\mathfrak{p}} \in \mathcal{O}_L[X]$.
- For each finite place λ of L (together with a fixed embedding $L \hookrightarrow L_{\lambda}$) a Galois representation

$$\rho_{\lambda} : G_F \rightarrow \text{GL}_n(L_{\lambda})$$

such that ρ_{λ} is unramified outside $S \cup S_{\ell}$ (where ℓ is the residue characteristic of λ and S_{ℓ} is the set places of F lying above ℓ) and such that for all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S \cup S_{\ell}$ the characteristic polynomial of $\rho_{\lambda}(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is equal to $P_{\mathfrak{p}}$ (equality inside $L_{\lambda}[X]$).

We say that the compatible system $\rho_{\bullet} = (\rho_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is symplectic if for all λ the representation ρ_{λ} is of the form $G_F \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(L_{\lambda})$. We say that $\rho_{\bullet} = (\rho_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ is almost everywhere (a. e.) symplectic if for all but possibly finitely many λ the representation ρ_{λ} is of the form $G_F \rightarrow \text{GSp}_n(L_{\lambda})$.

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^{\times}$ a finite order character. We say that the compatible system $\rho_{\bullet} = (\rho_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ has determinant $\psi\chi_{\ell}^a$ if for all places λ of L (say, λ lies over the rational prime ℓ) the determinant of ρ_{λ} is $\psi\chi_{\ell}^a$ with χ_{ℓ} the ℓ -adic cyclotomic character.

We say that a symplectic compatible system $\rho_{\bullet} = (\rho_{\lambda})_{\lambda}$ has multiplier map $\psi\chi_{\ell}^a$ if for all places λ of L (say, λ lies over the rational prime ℓ) the multiplier map of ρ_{λ} is $\psi\chi_{\ell}^a$ with χ_{ℓ} the ℓ -adic cyclotomic character. In the case of an almost everywhere symplectic compatible system, we only insist on this property at those places λ , where the representation is symplectic.

A compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ is called almost everywhere (a. e.) absolutely irreducible if all its members ρ_λ are absolutely irreducible except for finitely many places λ of L .

For a place $\mathfrak{p}$ of F not in S , denote by $a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ the coefficient in front of X^{n-1} of $P_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)$, i.e. the ‘trace’. For the sequel, compare Definition 2.2 and Lemma 2.4. Let

$$\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet} := \{(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G} \mid \gamma(a_{\mathfrak{p}}) = a_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for all places } \mathfrak{p} \text{ of } F \text{ not in } S\},$$

$$\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet} := \pi(\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}) \subseteq \Gamma, \Delta_{\rho_\bullet} := \{\gamma \in \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet} \mid (\gamma, 1) \in \mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}\} \text{ and } \mathcal{E}_{\rho_\bullet} := \iota^{-1}(\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}) = \iota^{-1}(\ker(\pi|_{\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}})).$$

We say that the compatible system $\rho_\bullet$ has no complex multiplication if $\mathcal{E}_{\rho_\bullet} = \{1\}$.

Let $K_{\rho_\bullet} := L^{\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}}$, called the projective field of definition of $\rho_\bullet$, and $E_{\rho_\bullet} := L^{\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}}$, called the field of definition of $\rho_\bullet$.

Proposition 4.2. *Let $\rho_\bullet$ be an a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system of n -dimensional Galois representations. If $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic, assume that the multiplier of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ and that $\rho_\bullet$ has no complex multiplication. If $\rho_\bullet$ is not a. e. symplectic, assume that the determinant of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$ and that $E_{\rho_\bullet}$ contains the nm -th roots of unity, where m is the order of ψ . Also assume that the topology (if any) on $\mathcal{E}$ is Hausdorff.*

- (a) $\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$ is a (closed) normal subgroup of $\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}$ and, hence, $E_{\rho_\bullet}/K_{\rho_\bullet}$ is a Galois extension with Galois group $\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}/\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$. In particular, the field $\gamma(E_{\rho_\bullet}) = E_{\rho_\bullet}$ for all $\gamma \in \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}$.
- (b) For each place λ of L such that ρ_λ is absolutely irreducible (and symplectic if $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic), the equivalence class $[\rho_\lambda]$ contains a representation that can be defined over the field $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$ and $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$ is the smallest such field. Moreover, $E_{\rho_\bullet}$ is generated over K by the $a_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all places $\mathfrak{p}$ of F not in S (and the values of the multiplier if $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic).

Proof. (a) First of all, note that, if $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic, then $E_{\rho_\bullet}$ contains the m -th roots of unity. Indeed, for all $\delta \in \Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$, for all places λ of L fixed by δ such that ρ_λ is symplectic, and all places $\mathfrak{p}$ of F outside $S \cup S_\ell$ (where ℓ is the residue characteristic of λ), we have that $\text{Tr}(\rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})) = a_{\mathfrak{p}} = \delta(a_{\mathfrak{p}}) = \text{Tr}(\delta\rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$. If ρ_λ is absolutely irreducible, by Chebotarev’s Density Theorem and Proposition 2.3 (b), there exists a matrix $M \in \text{GL}_n(L_\lambda)$ such that $\delta\rho_\lambda = M^{-1}\rho_\lambda M$, and now the same reasoning as in the proof of Proposition 2.3 (c), we obtain that $M \in \text{GSp}_n(L_\lambda)$ and ρ_λ and $\delta\rho_\lambda$ are equivalent as symplectic representations. Hence $\delta(m(\rho_\lambda)) = m(\delta\rho_\lambda) = m(\rho_\lambda)$ for all except possibly finitely many places $\lambda \in L$. Since the multiplier of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$, we obtain that the m -th roots of unity are fixed by δ .

The rest follows as in the proof of Proposition 2.14 (a).

(b) Let λ be a place of L such that ρ_λ is absolutely irreducible (and symplectic if $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic). For all $\mathfrak{p} \notin S \cup S_\ell$ (where ℓ is the residue characteristic of λ), the trace of $\rho_\lambda(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$ is fixed by all elements of $\Delta_{\rho_\bullet}$ that fix λ , whence it belongs to $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$, and we saw above that the multiplier of ρ_λ takes values in $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$ when $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic. Using Chebotarev’s density theorem and Proposition 2.3 (d) and (e), we see that ρ_λ can be defined over $(E_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$. The rest follows as in the proof of Proposition 2.14 (b). $\square$

Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system. For a place λ of L consider Set-up 2.1 for the Galois extension L_λ/K_λ , using the notation $\Gamma_\lambda := \text{Gal}(L_\lambda/K_\lambda)$ (denoting the prime of K below λ also by λ), and $\mathcal{E}_\lambda := \{\epsilon : G_F \rightarrow L_\lambda^\times \text{ continuous character}\}$ and $\mathcal{G}_\lambda := \mathcal{E}_\lambda \rtimes \Gamma_\lambda$. In this setting, apply Definition 2.2 with $[\rho_\lambda]$, so that, in particular, $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$ is the stabiliser subgroup inside $\mathcal{G}_\lambda$ of $[\rho_\lambda]$. We know from Section 2 that it is of the form $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]} = \mathcal{E}_{[\rho_\lambda]} \rtimes \Gamma_{[\rho_\lambda]}$.

We will next see that, when ρ_λ is absolutely irreducible, the projective field of definition of $[\rho_\lambda]$ of Definition 2.2 is just the completion at λ of the projective field of definition of the compatible system of Definition 4.1.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order m . If $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic, assume that $\rho_\bullet$ has no complex multiplication and that the multiplier of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. If $\rho_\bullet$ is not a. e. symplectic, assume that L contains the nm -th roots of unity and that the determinant of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. Let λ be a place of L such that ρ_λ is absolutely irreducible (and symplectic if $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic).*

Then we have

$$\Gamma_{[\rho_\lambda]} = \Gamma_\lambda \cap \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet},$$

where the intersection is taken inside Γ via the embedding $\Gamma_\lambda \hookrightarrow \Gamma$ coming from the embedding $L \hookrightarrow L_\lambda$.

Proof. We use Lemma 2.4. The inclusion ‘ $\supseteq$ ’ is clear. Conversely, let $\gamma \in \Gamma_{[\rho_\lambda]}$; in particular, $\gamma \in \Gamma_\lambda$. There is a character $\epsilon \in \mathcal{E}_\lambda$ such that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$, i.e. that $\gamma(a_p) = a_p \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_p)$ for all $p \notin S \cup S_\ell$.

Note that L contains the m -th roots of unity, since these occur as values of the multiplier or the determinant by assumption. Lemma 2.5 implies that all roots of unity appearing in the image of ϵ lie in L . Thus, ϵ can be lifted to an element of $\mathcal{E}$, i.e. taking values in $L^\times$. The equation $\gamma(a_p) = a_p \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_p)$ for all $p \notin S \cup S_\ell$ is now an equation in the field L , implying $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}$. $\square$

Corollary 4.4. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. absolutely irreducible compatible system. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order m . If $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic, assume that $\rho_\bullet$ has no complex multiplication and that the multiplier of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. If $\rho_\bullet$ is not a. e. symplectic, assume that L contains the nm -th roots of unity and that the determinant of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$.*

Then for each place λ of L such that ρ_λ is absolutely irreducible (and symplectic if $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic), the projective field of definition of $[\rho_\lambda]$ is $(K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$, the completion of $K_{\rho_\bullet}$ at the prime lying below λ .

Proof. By Lemma 4.3, we have $K_{[\rho_\lambda]} = L_\lambda^{\Gamma_{[\rho_\lambda]}} = L_\lambda^{\Gamma_\lambda \cap \Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}} = (L^{\Gamma_{\rho_\bullet}})_\lambda = (K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$. $\square$

Given a compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ we will now consider the residual representations $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$. If M is a local field, we denote by $\kappa(M)$ its residue field. Let λ be a finite place of L . Consider Set-up 2.1 for the Galois extension $\kappa(L_\lambda)/\kappa(K_\lambda)$ with Galois group $\bar{\Gamma}_\lambda$, using notation $\bar{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda$ and $\bar{\mathcal{G}}_\lambda$. We apply Definition 2.2 to the equivalence class $[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]$ of the residual representation, using the notation $\mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{G}}_\lambda$, $\mathcal{E}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]} \subseteq \bar{\mathcal{E}}_\lambda$ and $\Gamma_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]} \subseteq \bar{\Gamma}_\lambda$.

Theorem 4.5. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional compatible system. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order m . If $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic, assume that $\rho_\bullet$ has no complex multiplication and that the multiplier of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. If $\rho_\bullet$ is not a. e. symplectic, assume that L contains the nm -th roots of unity and that the determinant of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$.*

Assume, moreover, that for all but possibly finitely many places λ of L the residual representations $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ are absolutely irreducible (and have no complex multiplication in the case, when $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic). The restriction to the inertia group $I_\mathfrak{L}$ of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ for primes $\mathfrak{L}$ of F lying over the residue characteristic of λ is of the shape as in Proposition 3.2.

Assume also that there is an integer k , independent of λ , such that the numbers $a_{i,j}$ appearing in Proposition 3.2 are bounded by k .

Then for all places λ of L , except possibly finitely many, the projective field of definition of $[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]$ is $\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda)$.

Proof. Note that the condition that $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ is absolutely irreducible for all but finitely many places λ of L implies that $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. absolutely irreducible. We may restrict to those λ of residual characteristic ℓ satisfying $\frac{\ell-1}{2n} > k$ (cf. Proposition 3.2). We may also only consider λ such that $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ is absolutely irreducible (and symplectic without complex multiplication if $\rho_\bullet$ is a. e. symplectic). We may furthermore limit ourselves to λ such that λ is unramified in L/K .

Let $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$ be an inner twist of $[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]$. We identify ϵ with its lift to an element of $\mathcal{E}$ of the same order (with respect to the fixed $L \hookrightarrow L_\lambda$). By Lemma 2.5 we know that the order of ϵ is bounded independently of λ . Moreover, by Proposition 3.2, ϵ is unramified outside S . Let $\mathcal{E}_0$ be the finite subset of $\mathcal{E}$ consisting of those characters meeting these two requirements. Hence, $\mathcal{G}_0 := \mathcal{E}_0 \rtimes \Gamma$ is a finite subgroup of $\mathcal{G}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}$ are subgroups.

Now consider $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_0$. Assume that $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$ for infinitely many λ . Then for all places $\mathfrak{p}$ of F not in S and each of these λ (except those above the residue characteristic of $\mathfrak{p}$), we have

$$\gamma(a_{\mathfrak{p}}) \equiv a_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}}) \pmod{\lambda}.$$

Consequently, we have equality $\gamma(a_{\mathfrak{p}}) = a_{\mathfrak{p}} \cdot \epsilon(\text{Frob}_{\mathfrak{p}})$, whence $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}$.

This means that avoiding also those finitely many λ such that a $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_0 \setminus \mathcal{G}_{\rho_\bullet}$ is in $\mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$, we have $\mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]} = \mathcal{G}_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$, thus $\kappa(K_{[\rho_\lambda]}) = K_{[\bar{\rho}_\lambda]}$. Since $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$ is absolutely irreducible, ρ_λ is also absolutely irreducible, hence by Corollary 4.4, $K_{[\rho_\lambda]} = (K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda$, which proves the theorem. $\square$

5 Application to compatible systems with huge residual images

In this section we make use of Theorem 4.5 to prove a first result that allows us to (almost) determine the projective image of the residual representation $\bar{\rho}_\lambda$, (except for finitely many λ), for a compatible system $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)$ satisfying suitable conditions.

Let V be an n -dimensional K -vector space endowed with a symplectic pairing. Recall that an element $\tau \in \text{GL}(V)$ is a non-trivial *transvection* if $\tau - \text{id}_V$ has rank 1, i.e. if τ fixes a hyperplane

pointwisely and there is a line U such that $\tau(v) - v \in U$ for all $v \in V$. Any transvection has determinant 1. A *symplectic transvection* is a transvection in $\mathrm{Sp}(V)$. Any symplectic transvection has the form

$$T_v[\lambda] \in \mathrm{Sp}(V) : u \mapsto u + \lambda \langle u, v \rangle v$$

with *direction vector* $v \in V$ and *parameter* $\lambda \in K$ (cf. [Art57], p. 137-138).

Definition 5.1. Let L be an algebraically closed field, and G a subgroup of $\mathrm{GSp}_n(L)$. We will say that G is *huge* if the subgroup of G generated by the transvections contained in G is conjugated (in $\mathrm{GSp}_n(L)$) to $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ for some subfield $K \subseteq L$.

Let $\overline{K}$ be an algebraic closure of K .

Remark 5.2. When K is a finite field of characteristic ℓ , a subgroup $G \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$ is huge if and only if it contains a subgroup conjugated (in $\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$) to $\mathrm{Sp}_n(\mathbb{F}_\ell)$ (cf. [AdDW12]).

The main ingredient will be the following group-theoretic result:

Proposition 5.3. Let K be a finite field of characteristic different from 2, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$ be a group such that the group generated by the transvections in G is $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$. Then $G \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}_n(K)\overline{K}^\times$.

To prove this proposition, first note the following easy fact:

Lemma 5.4. Let $G \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$ be a group such that the group generated by the transvections in G is $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$. Then G is contained in the normaliser of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ in $\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$.

Proof. Let $A \in G$ be any element, say with multiplier α . To see that it belongs to the normaliser $N_{\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$, it suffices to see that, for all transvection $T = T_v[\lambda] \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $ATA^{-1} \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$.

An easy computation shows that, for all $w \in V$,

$$\begin{aligned} AT_v[\lambda]A^{-1}(w) &= A(A^{-1}w + \lambda \langle A^{-1}w, v \rangle Av) = w + \lambda \langle A^{-1}w, v \rangle Av = \\ &= w + \lambda \alpha \langle w, Av \rangle Av = T_{Av}[\lambda \alpha]. \end{aligned}$$

But $T_{Av}[\lambda \alpha]$ is a transvection and belongs to G , so it belongs to $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, as was to be shown. $\square$

So, in order to obtain Proposition 5.3, all we need is to prove the following proposition.

Proposition 5.5. Let K be a finite field of characteristic different from 2. Then $N_{\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)) \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}_n(K)\overline{K}^\times$.

We will make use of an auxiliary lemma:

Lemma 5.6. Let K be field of characteristic different from 2. The group of automorphisms of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ is generated by two subgroups, as described below:

- The group of automorphisms Φ such that: for all $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $\Phi(B) = ABA^{-1}$, where $A \in \mathrm{GSp}_n(K)$ (semi-inner automorphisms).
- The group of automorphisms Φ such that there exists $\phi : K \rightarrow K$ field automorphism such that, for all $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $\Phi(B)$ is the matrix with entries obtained by applying ϕ to the entries of B (field automorphisms).

Proof. This follows from the main Theorem of [Hua48], page 740. $\square$

Proof of Proposition 5.5. Denote by N the normaliser of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ in $\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$, and consider also the centraliser $C = C_{\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$, that is, the elements $A \in \mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$ such that, for all $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $A^{-1}BA = B$.

First, note that we can view N/C in a natural way as a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$: namely, given $A \in N$, it defines an automorphism of $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$ by conjugation, and the kernel of the homomorphism $N \rightarrow \mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$ is obviously C . Let us call $\tilde{N}$ the image of N in the automorphism group.

We know that $\tilde{N}$ is a subgroup of $\mathrm{Aut}(\mathrm{Sp}_n(K))$. By Lemma 5.6, we know that the group of automorphisms is generated by two subgroups, one consisting of the semi-inner automorphisms and one consisting of the field automorphisms. But no automorphism of $\tilde{N}$ can be a field automorphism. This is proved in [KLS08], page 548, inside of the proof of Corollary 2.6; namely, the reasoning is that any automorphism of the shape $B \mapsto A^{-1}BA$ must respect the trace. But there is always an element $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_{2n}(K)$ such that no field automorphism preserves the trace of B .

On the other hand, all semi-inner automorphisms belong to $\tilde{N}$. From here we can conclude that the semi-inner automorphisms are precisely those belonging to $\tilde{N}$. Indeed, note that the composition of a field automorphism with a semi-inner automorphism coincides with the composition of a semi-inner automorphism with a field automorphism, so each automorphism can be written as a product of a semi-inner one and a field one, and then we would get that if $\tilde{N}$ contains an automorphism which is not semi-inner, it contains an automorphism which is a field automorphism. Therefore the group $\tilde{N}$ coincides with the group of semi-inner automorphisms. That is to say, for any matrix $A \in N$, there exists a matrix $A_1 \in \mathrm{GSp}_n(K)$ such that the automorphism $B \mapsto A^{-1}BA$ coincides with the automorphism $A_1^{-1}BA_1$. That is to say, for all $B \in \mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, $(A_1A^{-1})^{-1}B(A_1A^{-1}) = B$. Equivalently, $A_1A^{-1} \in C$.

But, in our situation, $C = \overline{K}^\times \{\mathrm{Id}\}$. One sees this by considering a basis of V consisting of directions of transvections in $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$, say $T_{v_1}[\lambda_1], \dots, T_{v_n}[\lambda_n]$. Assume that $B \in C$. Then, for all $i = 1, \dots, n$, $B^{-1}T_{v_i}[\lambda]B = T_{v_i}[\lambda]$. But $B^{-1}T_{v_i}[\lambda]B = T_{Bv_i}[\lambda\beta^{-1}]$ (where β is the multiplier of B), hence B must fix all $\langle v_i \rangle$. Repeating the same reasoning with transvections with directions $v_1 + v_2, \dots, v_1 + v_n$, one sees that B must be a homothety.

Hence $N = \mathrm{GSp}_{2n}(K)\overline{K}^\times$. $\square$

As a Corollary of Proposition 5.3, we obtain the following.

Corollary 5.7. *Let K be a finite field of characteristic different from 2, and $G \subseteq \mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K})$ be a group such that the group generated by the transvections in G is $\mathrm{Sp}_n(K)$. Then the image of G by the projection $\mathrm{GSp}_n(\overline{K}) \rightarrow \mathrm{PGSp}_n(\overline{K})$ is either $\mathrm{PSp}_n(K)$ or $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(K)$.*

Corollary 5.8. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. symplectic compatible system. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. Assume that the multiplier of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$.*

Assume, moreover, that for all but possibly finitely many places λ of L the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ has huge image.

The restriction to the inertia group $I_\mathfrak{L}$ of $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ for primes $\mathfrak{L}$ of F lying over the residue characteristic of λ is of the shape as in Proposition 3.2. Assume also that there is an integer k , independent of λ , such that the numbers $a_{i,j}$ appearing in Proposition 3.2 are bounded by k .

Then for all places λ of L , except possibly finitely many, the image of $\overline{\rho}_\lambda^{\mathrm{proj}}$ is $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda))$ or $\mathrm{PSp}_n(\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda))$.

Proof. Let λ be a place of L such that the image of $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ is symplectic and huge. Then the restriction of $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ to $I_{[\overline{\rho}_\lambda]}$ (see Proposition 2.12) is absolutely irreducible and Corollary 2.13 and Theorem 4.5 show that $\overline{\rho}_\lambda^{\mathrm{proj}}$ can be defined over $\kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda)$ and that this field is the smallest one with this property.

Now, because of Corollary 5.7, we know that there exists a field $K' \subseteq \overline{K}$ such that the image of $\overline{\rho}_\lambda^{\mathrm{proj}}$ is conjugated to $\mathrm{PSp}_n(K')$ or $\mathrm{PGSp}_n(K')$ whenever $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ has huge image. In particular $\overline{\rho}_\lambda^{\mathrm{proj}}$ can be defined over K' , and this is the smallest field with this property. Hence $K' = \kappa((K_{\rho_\bullet})_\lambda)$, and the statement follows. $\square$

6 Application to the inverse Galois problem

In this section we generalise results of [DW11]. Some of the ideas are taken from [KLS08].

Definition 6.1. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a.e. symplectic compatible system as in Definition 4.1. Let $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ be a place of F that is totally split over $\mathbb{Q}$ and does not divide $2n$. Denote by q the rational prime under $\mathfrak{q}$. Let $\delta : G_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^n}} \rightarrow L^\times$ be a tame symplectic character of degree n and order $2p$ (see [KLS08], Section 3), by which we mean that δ is the product of two characters δ_1 and δ_2 , where δ_1 is unramified of order 2 and δ_2 is totally ramified of order an odd prime p such that the order of q in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is equal to n (note that, in particular, $n \mid (p-1)$).*

We say that the compatible system $\rho_\bullet$ is maximally induced at $\mathfrak{q}$ of order p if for each λ not above q the restriction of ρ_λ to a decomposition group at $\mathfrak{q}$ is equivalent to $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^n}}}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}}(\delta)$ (where we view δ as taking its values in L_λ via $L \hookrightarrow L_\lambda$).

The definition is made precisely in such a way in order to ensure that $\mathrm{Ind}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_{q^n}}}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}}(\delta)$ is symplectic and irreducible, and its image is an (n, p) -group in the terminology of [KLS08], to which we refer for more details. The existence of δ is a result of local class field theory.

Lemma 6.2. *Let p and q be primes such that the order of q in $\mathbb{F}_p^\times$ is equal to n . Let $\zeta_p \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ be a primitive p -th root of unity. Then the element $\xi_p := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \zeta_p^{q^i}$ has degree $\frac{p-1}{n}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$.*

Proof. See the paragraph above Proposition 2.16 of Chapter 2 of [Was82]. $\square$

Note that the element ξ_p from Lemma 6.2 is the trace of an element in the image of $\text{Ind}_{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q^n}}^{G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}}(\delta)$.

Lemma 6.3. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. absolutely irreducible a. e. symplectic compatible system as in Definition 4.1. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order m . Assume that $\rho_\bullet$ has no complex multiplication and that the multiplier of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$. Assume also that there is a place $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ such that $\rho_\bullet$ is maximally induced at $\mathfrak{q}$ of order p .*

Then $\xi_p := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \zeta_p^{q^i}$ is an element of $K_{\rho_\bullet}$.

Proof. Let λ be a place of L not above q (the prime below $\mathfrak{q}$). Let us take any $(\gamma, \epsilon) \in \mathcal{G}_{[\rho_\lambda]}$ so that $\gamma\rho_\lambda \cong \rho_\lambda \otimes \epsilon$. We first want to prove that $\gamma(\xi_p) = \xi_p$.

There is an element $\sigma_0 \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_q}$ such that the $\gamma\rho_\lambda(\sigma_0)$ and $(\rho_\lambda \otimes \epsilon)(\sigma_0)$ are

$$\begin{pmatrix} \gamma(\zeta_p) & & & \\ & \gamma(\zeta_p)^q & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \gamma(\zeta_p)^{q^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix} \text{ and } \alpha \begin{pmatrix} \zeta_p & & & \\ & \zeta_p^q & & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & \zeta_p^{q^{n-1}} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (6.2)$$

respectively, where $\alpha = \epsilon(\sigma_0) \in L_\lambda^\times$. Since ζ_p^q has order exactly n , we get that $\zeta_p \zeta_p^q \cdots \zeta_p^{q^{n-1}} = 1$, and therefore, from the equality of the determinants of the matrices in (6.2) we get $\alpha^n = 1$. But, α is also a p -th root of unity (comparing the eigenvalues of the two matrices), whence $\alpha = 1$, as $(n, p) = 1$. We then get $\gamma(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^{q^i}$, and therefore $\gamma(\xi_p) = \zeta_p^{q^i} + \cdots + \zeta_p^{q^{i(n-1)}} = \zeta_p + \cdots + \zeta_p^{q^{n-1}} = \xi_p$.

Hence, we have established $\xi_p \in K_{[\rho_\lambda]}$. But, since this holds for almost all λ , we conclude from Corollary 4.4 that ξ_p lies in $K_{\rho_\bullet}$. $\square$

Finally we will make use of the following proposition:

Proposition 6.4 (Prop. 7.2 of [DW11]). *Let $L/\mathbb{Q}$ be a finite field extension which contains a cyclic extension $M/\mathbb{Q}$ of degree d . Then the set of primes ℓ such that there is an ideal λ in $\mathcal{O}_L$ of residue degree d has a positive density.*

The following is our main result about the application to the inverse Galois problem.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $\rho_\bullet = (\rho_\lambda)_\lambda$ be an n -dimensional a. e. symplectic compatible system as in Definition 4.1 with $K = \mathbb{Q}$. Let $\psi : G_F \rightarrow L^\times$ be a character of finite order. Assume that the multiplier of $\rho_\bullet$ is $\psi\chi_\ell^a$.*

Assume, moreover, that for all but possibly finitely many places λ of L the residual representation $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ has huge image.

The restriction to the inertia group $I_\mathfrak{L}$ of $\overline{\rho}_\lambda$ for primes $\mathfrak{L}$ of F lying over the residue characteristic of λ is of the shape as in Proposition 3.2. Assume also that there is an integer k , independent of λ , such that the numbers $a_{i,j}$ appearing in Proposition 3.2 are bounded by k .

Assume also that there is a place $\mathfrak{q} \in S$ such that $\rho_\bullet$ is maximally induced at $\mathfrak{q}$ of order p .

Then for any $d \mid \frac{p-1}{n}$ there exists a set of places $\mathcal{L}_d$ of L of positive density such that for each $\lambda \in \mathcal{L}_d$ the image of $\bar{\rho}_\lambda^{\text{proj}}$ is $\text{PGSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$ or $\text{PSp}_n(\mathbb{F}_{\ell^d})$, where ℓ is the rational prime below λ .

Proof. Let $\xi_p := \sum_{i=0}^{q-1} \zeta_p^{q^i}$. By Lemma 6.2 we know that ξ_p has degree $\frac{p-1}{n}$ over $\mathbb{Q}$ and by Lemma 6.3 we also know that ξ_p lies in $K_{\rho_\bullet}$. Consequently, $K_{\rho_\bullet}$ contains a cyclic extension $M/\mathbb{Q}$ of degree d . The Theorem is now just a combination of Proposition 6.4 and Corollary 5.8. $\square$

References

- [AdDW12] Sara Arias-de-Reyna, Luis Dieulefait, and Gabor Wiese, *Compatible systems of symplectic Galois representations and the inverse Galois problem II. Transvections and huge image*, Preprint (2012).
- [Art57] E. Artin, *Geometric algebra*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., New York-London, 1957.
- [Car94] Henri Carayol, *Formes modulaires et représentations galoisiennes à valeurs dans un anneau local complet*, *p*-adic monodromy and the Birch and Swinnerton-Dyer conjecture (Boston, MA, 1991), Contemp. Math., vol. 165, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1994, pp. 213–237.
- [CR81] Charles W. Curtis and Irving Reiner, *Methods of representation theory. Vol. I*, John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 1981, With applications to finite groups and orders, Pure and Applied Mathematics, A Wiley-Interscience Publication.
- [DW11] Luis Dieulefait and Gabor Wiese, *On modular forms and the inverse Galois problem*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **363** (2011), no. 9, 4569–4584.
- [Hua48] Loo-Keng Hua, *On the automorphisms of the symplectic group over any field*, Ann. of Math. (2) **49** (1948), 739–759.
- [KLS08] Chandrashekhara Khare, Michael Larsen, and Gordan Savin, *Functoriality and the inverse Galois problem*, Compos. Math. **144** (2008), no. 3, 541–564.
- [Maz97] Barry Mazur, *An introduction to the deformation theory of Galois representations*, Modular forms and Fermat’s last theorem (Boston, MA, 1995), Springer, New York, 1997, pp. 243–311.
- [Rib85] Kenneth A. Ribet, *On l -adic representations attached to modular forms. II*, Glasgow Math. J. **27** (1985), 185–194.
- [Was82] Lawrence C. Washington, *Introduction to cyclotomic fields*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1982.